

**Appeal No. 12 of 2022 The Attorney General of the Republic of Burundi v Francis Ngaruko**

**Coming up for Judgment:** 29<sup>th</sup> February 2024.

**Appeal filed:** on 17<sup>th</sup> October 2022

**Treaty Article, Rules:** Articles 35A of the Treaty for the Establishment for the East African Community 1999.

**Subject matter:** Right to Property

The Appealing party is the Attorney General of Burundi the legal representative of the government of Burundi. The Appellant is a dissatisfied party in *Reference No. 9 of 2019 Francis Ngaruko v The Attorney General of Burundi* filed by Mr Francis Ngaruko on 31<sup>st</sup> May 2019 in the First Instance of the East African Court of Justice.

His main complaints in the Reference was that various State institutions in Burundi unlawfully dealt with the claims he brought before them that were between him and the Respondent State. As a result of these illegal actions the Applicant in the Reference was disentitled to his lawfully acquired property. All these actions he claims, were done in a manner inconsistent not only with the laws of Burundi but were also a violation of Burundi's obligations under the Treaty for the Establishment of the East African Community.

The First Instance Division decided the matter in the Respondent's favour and ordered the Republic of Burundi to either restore the property it ruled were illegally and unlawfully acquired from him or compensate the value of the property at the prevailing market price and the costs of the suit.

Dissatisfied with the decision, the Appellant now contends before the Appellate Division that the decision of the First Instance Division was manifestly flawed with errors of law and procedural irregularities. Among may other grounds of Appeal the Appellants contends that the decision was decided in favour of the Estate of one Sebatutsi who were not party to the Reference before it.

The Appellant further argues that the First Instance Division committed a procedural irregularity by completely ignoring and not affording due weight to the evidence on the issue of fraud that they tendered in Court. They thus pray for reversal of the whole Judgment and orders of the First Instance Division dated 30<sup>th</sup> September 2022.

The Respondent opposes the entire appeal on the basis that is hopelessly incompetent, incorrigibly defective for offending the provisions of the East African Court of Justice and that it also offends the provisions of Article 35A which gives the grounds of an Appeal because the Appeal is neither on point of law, jurisdictional question or procedural irregularity and the Honourable Court lacks jurisdiction to entertain it, and it should be struck out with costs.

*This is a document produced by the Registry to assist in understanding forthcoming matters before the Court. It does not bind the Court. For authoritative Decisions, Judgments and general information about the Court please visit <https://www.eacj.org>  
Contact: Registrar, East African Court of Justice, P.O. Box 1096 Arusha, Tanzania Tel: +255 27 2506093 Fax: +255 27 27 2509493 Email: [eacj@eachq.org](mailto:eacj@eachq.org)*